# Final Internal Audit Report 2011/12

London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham Theft of Valuable Metals October 2012

This report has been prepared on the basis of the limitations set out on page 9.

This report and the work connected therewith are subject to the Terms and Conditions of the Engagement Letter dated 14 April 2011 between London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham and Deloitte & Touche Public Sector Internal Audit Limited under an arrangement agreed with Croydon Council. The report is confidential and produced solely for the use of London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham. Therefore you should not, without our prior written consent, refer to or use our name or this document for any other purpose, disclose them or refer to them in any prospectus or other document, or make them available or communicate them to any other party. No other party is entitled to rely on our document for any purpose whatsoever and thus we accept no liability to any other party who is shown or gains access to this document.

Introduction	As part of the 2011/12 Internal Audit Plan, agreed by the Audit and Pensions Committee on 17 February 2011, we have undertaken an internal audit of Theft of Valuable Metals.
	This report sets out our findings from the internal audit and raises recommendations to address areas of control weakness and / or potential areas of improvement.
	The agreed objective and scope of our work is set out in the Audit Brief issued on 28 September 2011.

Audit Opinion &	None	Limited	Substantial	Full
Direction of Travel		L		

Area of Scope	Adequacy of		Recommendations Raised		
	Controls		Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3
Identification of Valuable Metals			1	0	0
Prevention of Theft			1	0	0
Detection of Theft			0	1	0
Communication			1	1	0

\* Management have advised that, although they agree with this recommendation in principle, this cannot be fully implemented due to financial resource constraints. This leaves the Council exposed to risks as detailed in recommendation 2.

Please refer to the attached documents for a definition of the audit opinions, direction of travel, adequacy and effectiveness assessments and recommendation priorities.

Key Findings	Key Statistics
<ul> <li>The Council does not currently have a policy on what they consider to be 'Valuable Metals' or a record of the valuable metals used in Council housing detailing type, quantity and location;</li> <li>Risk assessments are not currently carried out at Council sites to analyse their exposure to theft of metals;</li> <li>Some Council housing has CCTV facilities on their premises; however, this only surveys entrances to Council properties and estates;</li> <li>Access to roofs and other non-residential areas is mainly restricted by fire brigade locks;</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>We were informed that void properties are promptly secured, reducing the risk of theft from such properties;</li> </ul>	
• We were informed by the Head of Repairs that they are currently exploring the idea of using Smartwater/Smartgrease to mark valuable metals which would allow metals to be identified as Council property. This would be accompanied with prominent signage to act as a	

Internal Audit Report – London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham – Theft of Valuable Metals 2011/12

Discussions with the Programme & Residents' Liaison Officer

established that where damages occur due to theft of metals, alternative materials are used where possible. For example, lead thefts are repaired using felt. Although this is not as hard wearing, it eliminates the

No joint working between the Council and TRAs to promote awareness

The Council do not currently share or receive information regarding

metal thefts with other neighbouring authorities. Evidence was also not available to suggest communication between different Council

of metal theft amongst tenants could be identified;

deterrent to theft:

risk of further theft;

٠

•

•

departments regarding metal theft. Furthermore, evidence of liaison with organisations, such as the British Metals Recycling Association (BMRA), could not be ascertained; and

• The Council have insurance covering property damage from Zurich Municipal. Discussions with the Area Technical Manager established that there have been problems with recouping insurance payments for repairs from their insurers (Zurich Municipal) due to the Council's security arrangements not being in line with the policy.

#### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank all the staff within the Housing and Regeneration Department for their time and co-operation during the course of the internal audit.

## 1. Identifying Valuable Metals

Priority	Issue	Risk	Recommendatio	on
1	The Housing & Regeneration Department (HRD) do not have an inventory of the types of valuable metal present at each of their premises, their quantities or locations. It was established through discussions with the Programme & Residents Liaison Officer that the Council currently do not have a policy defining what metals they consider to be 'valuable'.	inventory of the type and quantity of	A policy detailing what are consid metals should be developed. Th available to all relevant staff. Quantities and location of valuable Housing premises should be iden within an inventory such as on the	is should be made e metals present at atified and recorded
Management Response			Responsible Officer	Deadline
Agreed. It is proposed to carry out a stock condition survey as part of the development of an Asset Management Strategy. This information will be placed on Codeman and will include data on metal building elements		Head of Repairs	30/12/2012	

## 2. Assessing the Risk of Theft

Priority	Issue	Risk	Recommendation	ı
1	Risk assessments are not currently undertaken assessing the likelihood and impact of theft occurring on Housing premises.	Where the department do not carry out risk assessments on the likelihood and impact of metal theft at each of their premises, the measures put in place to prevent and detect metal theft may not be proportionate to the risk. Investment may not be made in anti- theft measures or investment may be excessive where there is little or no threat (such as premises where all valuable metals are located internally or where there are few metals present).	Assessments should be carried out on the risk of metal theft at each Housing property. This may be based on the types of metals present at the location security of the premises and ease of access to metals The measures already in place to detect and preven metal theft at each property should then be assessed against this risk assessment and action taken wher the current response is not proportionate to the risk.	
Manageme	ent Response	Responsible Officer	Deadline	
Management Response			Head of Repairs	30/12/2012
Agreed in principle; however, there is currently no budget for a risk assessment of this nature to be undertaken and would need financial resources reallocated form other budgets and therefore this recommendation cannot be fully implemented. However, replacement of metal parts at risk of theft, with non-desirable components will be undertaken as part of our maintenance programme. <b>Audit Comment</b> Where this recommendation cannot be implemented the Housing and Regeneration department will remain exposed the risks detailed above.			Programme & Residents' Liaison Officer	
Where this recommendation cannot be implemented we would advise that, as a minimum, some form of risk assessment is undertaken focussing on high risk properties and 'cloning' risk assessments across similar properties to reduce the resources required.				

## 3. Insurance Arrangements

Priority	Issue	Risk	Recommendation	on
2	Discussions with the Area Technical Manager established that there have been problems with recouping insurance payments from their insurers (Zurich Municipal) for repairs of the White City estate following metal thefts. Furthermore, we were informed that using fire brigade locks on Council premises is currently not deemed as adequate by Zurich Municipal, as universal keys are readily available. Measures are being drawn up to switch to more secure locks in the near future.	Where the Council's security arrangements are not in line with the requirements of the insurance agreement with Zurich Municipal, there is the risk of the Council not being fully insured against incidents of metal theft. This may lead to financial loss to the Council.	Confirmation should be obtained insurance function that the Cou insurance cover for incidents of me Where the Council is not covered i theft, consideration should be security arrangements or amen ensure adequate insurance cover i	incil has adequate etal theft. n the event of metal given to updating ding the policy to
Manageme	ent Response		Responsible Officer	Deadline
Agreed.			Head of Repairs Insurance Manager	31/10/2012

#### 4. Cooperation with TRAs to Raise Awareness

Priority	Issue	Risk	Recommendation	on
2	The Housing department do not currently engage with the borough's Tenants and Residents Associations (TRAs) to raise awareness of the issue of metal theft amongst housing tenants. This is made more significant as the main mechanism for detecting metal theft is through reports from tenants (either of the theft itself or of secondary damage caused by the theft).	raised amongst tenants, there is the risk that tenants will not look out for	to devise ways to raise awarer	•
Managemo	ent Response		Responsible Officer	Deadline
Agreed.			Head of Repairs Communications Officer	31/10/2012

## 5. Communicating With Other Local Authorities, Organisations and Departments

Priority	Issue	Risk	Recommendatior	n
1	The Housing and Regeneration department do not currently liaise with other Council departments or neighbouring Local Authorities regarding occurrences of metal theft. Furthermore, the department do not currently report instances of theft to the British Metals Recycling Association (BMRA).	Where the department do not engage in information sharing with other Council Departments and neighbouring Local Authorities, there is the risk that patterns of theft are not identified impacting Local Authorities' ability to take action. Furthermore, the Council may not benefit from sharing best practice. Where the department do not report incidences of metal theft to the BMRA, stolen metals may not be identified or traced back to the Council.	The department should begin sh regarding thefts and/or attempted Council departments and nei Authorities. Patterns of such incid documented and updated where neo All incidents of metal theft from should be promptly reported to the E A form of coordination should be es departments to address the issue central lead should be appointed measures taken to control metal to departments are coordinated.	thefts with other ghbouring Local dences should be cessary. Council premises' BMRA. stablished between of metal theft. A d to ensure that
Manageme	ent Response		Responsible Officer	Deadline
Agreed. Corporate Asset Delivery Team (CADT) will be the mechanism for reporting, sharing information on measures to mitigate against metal theft. This will be put on the agenda for the next meeting to discuss Internal Audit's findings, also an item is placed on the corporate risk register for monitoring.			Assistant Director of Building and Property Management	Implemented

# Statement of Responsibility

We take responsibility for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our internal audit work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of internal audit work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by internal audit should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Auditors, in conducting their work, are required to have regards to the possibility of fraud or irregularities. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud. Internal audit work and to ensure the authenticity of these documents. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system. The assurance level awarded in our internal audit report is not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board.

#### **Deloitte & Touche Public Sector Internal Audit Limited**

London

October 2012

In this document references to Deloitte are references to Deloitte & Touche Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Hill House, 1 Little New Street, London EC4A 3TR, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Deloitte & Touche Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP, the United Kingdom member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited ("DTTL"), a UK private company limited by guarantee, whose member firms are legally separate and independent entities. Please see www.deloitte.co.uk/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of DTTL and its member firms.

Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited